## 2028 Order Deadline Remediation Frameworks Discussion Questions

- 1. What legal or regulatory instruments (such as a control order) should be considered to ensure continued remediation efforts post-2028?
- 2. What specific criteria should be included in the new instrument to hold LANXESS accountable for remediation progress beyond 2028?
- 3. What key lessons from the pre-2028 remediation efforts should inform the design of the post-2028 control order or other instruments?
- 4. What ongoing community engagement strategies should be embedded in the new instrument to ensure transparency and responsiveness post-2028?
- 5. How should the post-2028 instrument address potential environmental risks that may not have been fully mitigated by the original deadline?
- 6. What are the financial and operational commitments required from LANXESS under the new instrument to ensure the long-term success of the remediation?
- 7. How can the new instrument be structured to allow for flexibility in responding to unforeseen challenges while maintaining stringent oversight?
- 8. What role should the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) play in monitoring and enforcing the post-2028 instrument?
- 9. How will the effectiveness of the new instrument be evaluated, and what metrics will be used to assess its success over time?
- 10. What alternatives should be explored for the handling of treated water post-2028, especially considering the current practice of pumping, treating, and returning it to the creek?